

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/685,601	10/11/2000	Franco Lo Giudice	198404US0	5262	
22850 7	590 12/28/2005		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			ZIMMER, MARC S		
ALEXANDRI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1712		

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<i>K</i>			
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/685,601		GIUDICE ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Marc S. Zin		1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time r after SIX (6) MONT - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply with Any reply received	O STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL S LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. HS from the mailing date of this communication. ly is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the set or extended period for reply will, by statut by the Office later than three months after the mailin adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THI .136(a). In no even d will apply and will tte, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	J.' lely filed the mailing date of this con O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Responsi	ve to communication(s) filed on 06 (October 2005			•			
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this	, 							
closed in	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Clai	ims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) ′	1-7,9-13,15 and 17-35 is/are pendin	ng in the apolic	cation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	is/are allowed.							
	1-7,9-13,15,17-31,34 <u>and 35</u> is/are r	rejected.						
7) 🛛 Claim(s) 🔾	32 and 33 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) _	are subject to restriction and/	or election re	quirement.					
Application Papers	S		•					
	fication is objected to by the Examin	ner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
· · <u> </u>								
2. Cer								
3.☐ Cor	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
арр	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the att	ached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certifi	ed copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)			_					
	ces Cited (PTO-892)	•	 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 					
3) Information Disclo	erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) psure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	~ ,	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P		152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-7, 9-13, 15, 17-29, 31, and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. As before, the original disclosure doesn't provide any indication as to whether it is number-average or weight-average molecular weight that is being recited. Applicant is reminded of previous discussions where the Examiner had outlined a possible ways of resolving this matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tanaka et al., U.S. Patent # 5,416,151. The Examiner had previously held that these claims were allowable over the prior art. However, it is now appreciated that, whereas claim 3 states that the additive composition is added in an amount corresponding to 0.01-2.8 wt.% relative to the weight of the composition, claim 30, by contrast, recites a range of 0.01 to

Application/Control Number: 09/685,601 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

10 wt.%. Clearly, the examples outlined in Table 1 adhere to this limitation. (Applicant is reminded that the Examiner had earlier acknowledged that the oil in most of these examples was parrafin oil but that an oil consistent with Applicant's description of a saturated hydrocarbon had also been mentioned in the broader disclosure and the skilled artisan would have readily appreciated that the paraffin could have been replaced with any of the oils described by the broader disclosure.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 32 and 33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc S. Zimmer whose telephone number is 571-272-1096. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/685,601

Art Unit: 1712

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 23, 2005

Marc Zimmer AU 1711